




OPEN ACCESS

# Association between coarse particulate matter (PM<sub>10-2.5</sub>) and nasopharyngeal carcinoma among Taiwanese men

Hsu-Chih Huang,<sup>1,2</sup> Disline Manli Tantoh,<sup>3,4</sup> Shu-Yi Hsu,<sup>4</sup> Oswald Ndi Nfor,<sup>4</sup> Cheau-Feng Lin Frank,<sup>2,5</sup> Chia-Chi Lung,<sup>4</sup> Chien-Chang Ho,<sup>6</sup> Chih-Yi Chen,<sup>1,2</sup> Yung-Po Liaw <sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan  
<sup>2</sup>Division of Thoracic Surgery, Department of Surgery, Chung Shan Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan  
<sup>3</sup>Department of Medical Imaging, Chung Shan Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan  
<sup>4</sup>Department of Public Health and Institute of Public Health, Chung Shan Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan  
<sup>5</sup>School of Medicine, Chung Shan Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan  
<sup>6</sup>Department of Physical Education, Fu Jen Catholic University, New Taipei, Taiwan

## Correspondence to

Professor Yung-Po Liaw, Department of Public Health and Institute of Public Health, Chung Shan Medical University, Taichung 40201, Taiwan; [Liawyp@csmu.edu.tw](mailto:Liawyp@csmu.edu.tw)  
 Professor Chih-Yi Chen; [cshy1566@csh.org.tw](mailto:cshy1566@csh.org.tw)

Accepted 28 September 2019

Published Online First 16 October 2019



© American Federation for Medical Research 2020. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. Published by BMJ.

**To cite:** Huang H-C, Tantoh DM, Hsu S-Y, et al. *J Investig Med* 2020;**68**:419–424.

## ABSTRACT

The nasopharyngeal tract traps mainly coarse particles in inhaled air. Soluble carcinogenic compounds, endotoxins, and trace metals contained in these particles are potential causes of inflammation and oxidative stress which could enhance carcinogenesis. The aim of this study was to determine the association between coarse particulate matter (PM<sub>10-2.5</sub>) and nasopharyngeal cancer (NPC). A total of 521,098 men (355 cases and 520,743 non-cases), aged ≥40 years were included in this study. Data were retrieved from the Taiwan Cancer Registry, the Adult Preventive Medical Services Database, and the Air Quality Monitoring Database. PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> was significantly associated with a higher risk of NPC after adjusting for SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, age, body mass index, smoking, alcohol drinking, betel nut chewing, exercise, hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia. With PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> < 20.44 µg/m<sup>3</sup> as the reference, the ORs and 95% CIs were 1.47; 1.03–2.11, 1.34; 0.94–1.91, and 1.68; 1.16–2.44 for 20.44 ≤ PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> < 24.08, 24.08 ≤ PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> < 29.27, and PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> ≥ 29.27 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> remained significantly associated with a higher risk of NPC after further adjustments were made for the aforementioned covariates and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The ORs; 95% CIs were 1.42; 0.96 to 2.12, 1.41; 0.94 to 2.10, and 1.71; 1.10 to 2.66 for 20.44 ≤ PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> < 24.08, 24.08 ≤ PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> < 29.27, and PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> ≥ 29.27 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. In conclusion, PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> was significantly associated with a higher risk of NPC in Taiwanese men.

## INTRODUCTION

Air pollution is associated with an increased risk of cancers of the upper aerodigestive tract including oral, lung, and nasopharyngeal carcinoma among others.<sup>1–3</sup> The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classifies air pollutants especially particulate matter (PM) as group 1 carcinogens.<sup>4</sup>

Typically, particles with an aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 µm (PM<sub>2.5</sub> or fine PM) can be deposited right into the lung alveoli, while those with aerodynamic diameter below 10 µm (PM<sub>10</sub>) do not penetrate right into the

## Significance of this study

### What is already known about this subject?

- ▶ The nasopharyngeal tract traps mainly coarse particles in inhaled air whose sizes range between 5 and 10 µm.
- ▶ The coarse fraction of particulate matter (PM<sub>10-2.5</sub>) could trigger inflammation and cancer development in the nasopharynx.
- ▶ It has been shown to be a predictor of increased mortality and hospital admissions for respiratory and cardiovascular diseases.

### What are the new findings?

- ▶ PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> was significantly associated with a higher risk of nasopharyngeal cancer.
- ▶ The association of PM<sub>2.5</sub> with nasopharyngeal cancer was not significant.
- ▶ PM<sub>10</sub> was significantly associated with a higher risk of nasopharyngeal cancer.

### How might these results change the focus of research or clinical practice?

- ▶ These findings highlight the relationship between coarse particulate matter and nasopharyngeal cancer, which has not been widely reported in previous literature.

lung alveoli.<sup>5–7</sup> However, they can be deposited in the upper respiratory tract.<sup>8</sup> So far, less work has been done with regard to the health effects of particles with an aerodynamic diameter between 2.5 and 10 µm (PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> or coarse PM) compared with PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>.<sup>5 6 8–10</sup>

Nasopharyngeal cancer (NPC) is among the cancers that are most common in the head and neck regions.<sup>11</sup> It is potentially curable when diagnosed at an early stage.<sup>11</sup> Some risk factors for NPC include age, Epstein-Barr virus, family history, tobacco smoke, alcohol consumption, dietary habits, and occupational wood dust.<sup>11–14</sup> Generally, the risk in men doubles or triples that in women.<sup>11–13 15</sup> For instance, in 2012, more than 86,500 cases of NPC were reported in the world.<sup>11 16</sup> The standardized incidence per 100,000 was 1.2 (1.7 in men and 0.7 in women).<sup>11</sup> Moreover, about 50,831

NPC-related deaths were reported and the standardized mortality rate per 100,000 was 0.7 (1.0 in men and 0.4 in women).<sup>11</sup> NPC is also more common in East and Southeast Asia.<sup>11 16 17</sup> For instance, in 2012, about 71% of new cases were reported in East and Southeast Asia.<sup>16</sup> Taiwan is one of the Southeast Asian countries with a higher incidence of NPC.<sup>11 12 18</sup> According to the 2008 Taiwan Cancer Registry (TCR) reports, NPC was the 10th incident cancer and the 9th cause of mortality among Taiwanese men.<sup>19</sup> The age-adjusted incidence and mortality rates per 100,000 were 8.29 and 4.5, respectively.<sup>19</sup>

The coarse fraction of PM ( $PM_{10-2.5}$ ) has been shown to be a predictor of increased mortality and hospital admissions for respiratory and cardiovascular diseases.<sup>9 10 20</sup> Moreover, it is associated with proinflammatory and cytotoxic effects<sup>21</sup> which are believed to promote tumorigenesis.

To our knowledge, there is limited research on the relationship between NPC and outdoor air pollution, especially coarse PM. In a study conducted in Taiwan, exposure to higher levels of  $NO_2$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  was found to be significantly associated with a higher risk of NPC. However, only three pollutants ( $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$ , and  $PM_{2.5}$ ) were included in the analyses.<sup>18</sup> The aim of this study was to determine the association between coarse PM ( $PM_{10-2.5}$ ) and NPC among Taiwanese men aged  $\geq 40$  years.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study participants

Initially, a total of 1,207,827 male participants were considered for the current study. However, 686,729 of them were excluded for the following reasons: (1) younger than 40 years ( $n=12,664$ ); (2) diagnosed with NPC before 2009 ( $n=216$ ); (3) lived in townships without air quality monitoring stations ( $n=642,787$ ); and 4) incomplete data ( $n=31,062$ ). The final study participants included 521,098 men (355 cases and 520,743 non-cases of NPC) who lived in 71 townships with air quality monitoring stations. Data were retrieved from three databases which were linked using participants' identification and household registration numbers that were protected for privacy reasons. The databases included the Air Quality Monitoring Database (AQMD), the TCR, and the Adult Preventive Medical Services Database (APMSD).

### Datasets and measures

The air quality data were retrieved from the AQMD, Taiwan. The Air Quality Monitoring Network of the Environmental Protection Administration (EPA), Taiwan has been monitoring air quality in Taiwan since 1993.<sup>22</sup> This network contains fully automated air quality monitoring stations which provide daily concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$ , sulfur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ),  $NO_x$  (nitrogen monoxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide ( $NO_2$ )), carbon monoxide (CO), and ozone ( $O_3$ ).<sup>23</sup> The instruments used for measuring the various pollutants are stated elsewhere.<sup>24</sup> Even though air quality monitoring has been taking place in Taiwan since 1993,  $PM_{2.5}$  data are available only from 2006 while  $PM_{10}$  data are available only from 1998. In our study, the participants' exposure data were assumed to be those obtained from the air quality monitoring stations found in townships where their household registration was done. A total

of 71 townships had air quality monitoring stations. Mean annual concentrations (from 2006 to 2008) of air pollutants including  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{10-2.5}$ , sulfur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ),  $NO_x$ , carbon monoxide (CO), and ozone ( $O_3$ ) were used. Data on household registration, age, body mass index (BMI), smoking, alcohol drinking, betel nut chewing, exercise, hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia were retrieved from the APMSD (2012–2013). The National Health Insurance Administration, Taiwan began providing free adult preventive medical services to adults aged 40 years and above since 1996.<sup>2 25</sup> However, valid electronic records of users of these services were set up only in 2012.<sup>2</sup> The free adult preventive care services are provided once in 3 years for adults aged 40–64 years and once per year for those aged 65 years and above.<sup>25</sup> Data are collected through physical examinations (eg, height and weight), questionnaires (eg, age, betel nut chewing, exercise, disease history, smoking and drinking status) as well as blood and urine tests.<sup>2 25</sup> Data on NPC from 2009 to 2013 were collected from the TCR using the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, third edition (ICD-O-3) code C11.

### Statistical analysis

Concentrations of  $PM_{10-2.5}$  were calculated by subtracting the measured concentrations of  $PM_{2.5}$  from those of  $PM_{10}$ . Concentrations of  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and  $PM_{10-2.5}$  were stratified into quartiles. Quartiles were used because different concentrations of air pollutants might have different impacts on the risk of NPC. Furthermore, there was no information regarding the threshold of air pollution levels in NPC. For collinearity among pollutants, a variance influence factor (VIF)  $>10$  was set as a cut-off value. Pollutants whose VIFs were  $>10$  were not included in the regression models. The categorical variables were compared using the  $\chi^2$  test while the correlation among air pollutants was determined using Pearson correlation analysis. The association between PM ( $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and  $PM_{10-2.5}$ ) and nasopharyngeal carcinoma was determined using logistic regression. Covariates included  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_x$ ,  $O_3$ , age, BMI, smoking, alcohol drinking, betel nut chewing, exercise, hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia. The regression results were presented in four models. In models 1, 2, and 3, adjustments were made for  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_x$ ,  $O_3$ , age, BMI, smoking, alcohol drinking, betel nut chewing, exercise, hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia. In model 4, adjustments were made for  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_x$ ,  $O_3$ , age, BMI, smoking, alcohol drinking, betel nut chewing, exercise, hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia. The SAS 9.4 software (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA) was used to perform all the statistical analyses.

### RESULTS

The mean annual concentrations of the air pollutants from 2006 to 2008 were 0.61 ppm for CO, 34.35, 59.71, and 25.37  $\mu g/m^3$  for  $PM_{2.5}$ ,  $PM_{10}$ , and  $PM_{10-2.5}$ , respectively, and 5.06, 29.25, and 27.74 ppb for  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_x$  and  $O_3$ , respectively (table 1). Pearson correlation coefficients among the air pollutants are presented in table 2. Because CO was strongly correlated (VIF  $>10$ ) with  $NO_x$  (table not shown), it was not included in the regression models. The descriptive statistics of the study participants are shown in table 3. There

**Table 1** Concentrations of air pollutants in Taiwan (2006–2008)

Air pollutants	Mean	SD	Min.	Q1	Median	Q3	Max.	Range
PM <sub>2.5</sub> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	34.35	7.89	13.45	30.42	32.71	40.35	51.39	37.93
PM <sub>10</sub> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	59.71	13.51	26.82	50.66	58.89	70.23	89.72	62.90
PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	25.37	6.79	12.62	20.44	24.08	29.27	43.13	30.51
SO <sub>2</sub> (ppb)	5.06	2.07	1.86	3.65	4.63	5.81	12.38	10.52
NOx (ppb)	29.25	14.48	4.32	20.50	27.25	32.80	81.76	77.44
CO (ppm)	0.61	0.22	0.15	0.47	0.57	0.71	1.34	1.19
O <sub>3</sub> (ppb)	27.74	4.01	16.42	25.71	27.64	30.19	44.96	28.54

Max, Maximum; Min, Minimum.

were significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) between the NPC and non-NPC cases in relation to PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>10-2.5</sub>, NOx, age, BMI, and alcohol drinking. The associations between PM (PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>10-2.5</sub>) and NPC are shown in table 4. Only PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> were significantly associated with a higher risk of NPC after adjusting for SO<sub>2</sub>, NOx, O<sub>3</sub>, age, BMI, smoking, alcohol drinking, betel nut chewing, exercise, hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia (table 4, models 1, 2, and 3). For PM<sub>10</sub> (reference: PM<sub>10</sub> < 50.66 µg/m<sup>3</sup>), the ORs; 95% CIs were 1.31; 0.91 to 1.88, 1.52; 1.09 to 2.13, and 1.52; 1.03 to 2.23 for 50.66 ≤ PM<sub>10</sub> < 58.89, 58.89 ≤ PM<sub>10</sub> < 70.23, and PM<sub>10</sub> ≥ 70.23 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively (table 4, model 1). For PM<sub>2.5</sub> (reference: PM<sub>2.5</sub> < 30.42 µg/m<sup>3</sup>), the ORs; 95% CIs were 0.69; 0.46 to 1.04, 1.09; 0.75 to 1.57, and 1.08; 0.75 to 1.55 for 30.42 ≤ PM<sub>2.5</sub> < 32.71, 32.71 ≤ PM<sub>2.5</sub> < 40.35, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> ≥ 40.35 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively (table 4, model 2). For PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> (reference: PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> < 20.44 µg/m<sup>3</sup>), the ORs; 95% CIs were 1.47; 1.03 to 2.11, 1.34; 0.94 to 1.91, and 1.68; 1.16 to 2.44 for 20.44 ≤ PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> < 24.08, 24.08 ≤ PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> < 29.27, and PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> ≥ 29.27 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively (table 4, model 3). After excluding PM<sub>10</sub> to avoid collinearity, PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> remained significantly associated with a higher risk of NPC after adjustments were made for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, NOx, O<sub>3</sub>, age, BMI, smoking, alcohol drinking, betel nut chewing, exercise, hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia. The ORs; 95% CIs were 1.42; 0.96 to 2.12, 1.41; 0.94 to 2.10, and 1.71; 1.10 to 2.66 for 20.44 ≤ PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> < 24.08, 24.08 ≤ PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> < 29.27, and PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> ≥ 29.27 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively (table 4, model 4).

## DISCUSSION

In the current study, PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> was significantly associated with a higher risk of nasopharyngeal carcinoma after adjusting for age, BMI, smoking, and alcohol drinking among others. However, the association with PM<sub>2.5</sub> was not significant. It is worth mentioning that the association between NPC and

outdoor air pollution, especially PM, has not been extensively investigated. To date, only one study has assessed the risk of NPC among Taiwanese adults exposed to air pollution.<sup>18</sup> However, PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> was not considered in the analysis.<sup>18</sup> Therefore, to our knowledge, this is the first study that has shown a significant association between PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> and NPC.

In the study conducted in Taiwan, PM<sub>2.5</sub> was significantly associated with an increased risk of NPC in Taiwanese adults.<sup>18</sup> However, the association between coarse PM and NPC was not assessed in that study.<sup>18</sup> In our current study, NPC was significantly associated with PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> but not PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Fine PM (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and coarse PM (PM<sub>10-2.5</sub>) vary in terms of size and deposition in the body.<sup>26</sup> This could account for their different impacts on NPC as observed in the current study. Fine particles which are smaller in diameter could be deposited right into the bronchioles and alveoli.<sup>26</sup> As such, they may not be trapped in the nasopharynx. These might explain why fine PM (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and NPC were not significantly associated in the current study. The nasopharyngeal tract traps mainly coarse particles in inhaled air whose sizes range between 5 and 10 µm.<sup>12, 27</sup> These particles contain endotoxins, soluble carcinogenic compounds, and trace metals which could trigger immune responses like inflammation and oxidative stress.<sup>28, 29</sup> PM-induced immune responses in the nasopharynx could induce DNA damage and DNA adducts which could subsequently enhance predisposition to cancer.<sup>29-31</sup>

A limitation of this study is that information on important factors like socioeconomic status, family disease history, urbanization among others were not available to be included in the analysis. We did not also have information on participants who moved out of a township between 2006 and 2008. As a result, we could not determine the risk of NPC among such individuals. However, we believe that this might have resulted in a non-differential outcome

**Table 2** Pearson correlation coefficients among air pollutants

Air pollutants	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	NOx	CO	O <sub>3</sub>
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	1.00	0.93*	0.69*	0.50*	0.10*	0.09*	<0.01
PM <sub>10</sub>	–	1.00	0.91*	0.54*	0.09*	0.08*	0.05*
PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>	–	–	1.00	0.50*	0.05*	0.06*	0.11*
SO <sub>2</sub>	–	–	–	1.00	0.35*	0.33*	–0.18*
NOx	–	–	–	–	1.00	0.95*	–0.67*
CO	–	–	–	–	–	1.00	–0.66*
O <sub>3</sub>	–	–	–	–	–	–	1.00

\* $P < 0.05$ .

**Table 3** Descriptive data of the participants

Variables	Non-nasopharyngeal carcinoma cases (n=520,743)	Nasopharyngeal carcinoma cases (n=355)	P value
<b>PM<sub>2.5</sub> (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>			
PM <sub>2.5</sub> <30.42	165,634 (31.81%)	110 (30.99%)	0.260
30.42≤PM <sub>2.5</sub> <32.71	87,233 (16.75%)	47 (13.24%)	
32.71≤PM <sub>2.5</sub> <40.35	142,273 (27.32%)	104 (29.3%)	
PM <sub>2.5</sub> ≥40.35	125,603 (24.12%)	94 (26.48%)	
<b>PM<sub>10</sub> (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>			
PM <sub>10</sub> <50.66	130,770 (25.11%)	64 (18.03%)	0.019*
50.66≤PM <sub>10</sub> <58.89	118,457 (22.75%)	84 (23.66%)	
58.89≤PM <sub>10</sub> <70.23	146,439 (28.12%)	113 (31.83%)	
PM <sub>10</sub> ≥70.23	125,077 (24.02%)	94 (26.48%)	
<b>PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> (µg/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>			
PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> <20.44	122,658 (23.55%)	59 (16.62%)	0.018*
20.44≤PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> <24.08	138,620 (26.62%)	103 (29.01%)	
24.08≤PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> <29.27	126,679 (24.33%)	89 (25.07%)	
PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> ≥29.27	132,786 (25.5%)	104 (29.3%)	
<b>SO<sub>2</sub> (ppb)</b>			
SO <sub>2</sub> <3.65	131,457 (25.24%)	76 (21.41%)	0.151
3.65≤SO <sub>2</sub> <4.63	124,313 (23.87%)	77 (21.69%)	
4.63≤SO <sub>2</sub> <5.81	133,527 (25.64%)	102 (28.73%)	
SO <sub>2</sub> ≥5.81	131,446 (25.24%)	100 (28.17%)	
<b>NOx (ppb)</b>			
NOx<20.50	127,602 (24.5%)	73 (20.56%)	0.047*
20.50≤NOx<27.25	130,325 (25.03%)	91 (25.63%)	
27.25≤NOx<32.80	134,522 (25.83%)	83 (23.38%)	
NOx≥32.80	128,294 (24.64%)	108 (30.42%)	
<b>O<sub>3</sub> (ppb)</b>			
O <sub>3</sub> <25.71	128,955 (24.76%)	93 (26.2%)	0.300
25.71≤O <sub>3</sub> <27.64	124,633 (23.93%)	97 (27.32%)	
27.64≤O <sub>3</sub> <30.19	139,920 (26.87%)	88 (24.79%)	
O <sub>3</sub> ≥30.19	127,235 (24.43%)	77 (21.69%)	
<b>CO (ppm)</b>			
CO<0.47	125,976 (24.19%)	77 (21.69%)	0.111
0.47≤CO<0.57	125,414 (24.08%)	86 (24.23%)	
0.57≤CO<0.71	138,495 (26.6%)	84 (23.66%)	
CO≥0.71	130,858 (25.13%)	108 (30.42%)	
<b>Age (years)</b>			
30≤Age<40	115,635 (22.21%)	80 (22.54%)	<0.001*
40≤Age<50	139,232 (26.74%)	119 (33.52%)	
50≤Age<60	121,312 (23.3%)	99 (27.89%)	
60≤Age<70	144,564 (27.76%)	57 (16.06%)	
<b>BMI (Kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>			
BMI<18.5	12,853 (2.47%)	12 (3.38%)	0.025*
18.5≤BMI<24	193,008 (37.06%)	155 (43.66%)	
24≤BMI<27	179,161 (34.40%)	113 (31.83%)	
BMI≥27	135,721 (26.06%)	75 (21.13%)	
<b>Smoking</b>			
Never	395,746 (76.00%)	283 (79.72%)	0.218
Occasional	24,675 (4.74%)	19 (5.35%)	
Average <1 pack/day	67,793 (13.02%)	35 (9.86%)	
Average >1 pack/day	32,529 (6.25%)	18 (5.07%)	
<b>Drinking</b>			
Never	375,072 (72.03%)	279 (78.59%)	0.022*
Occasional	117,233 (22.51%)	62 (17.46%)	
Frequent	28,438 (5.46%)	14 (3.94%)	
<b>Betel chewing</b>			

Continued

**Table 3** Continued

Variables	Non-nasopharyngeal carcinoma cases (n=520,743)	Nasopharyngeal carcinoma cases (n=355)	P value
<b>Never</b>			
Never	481,688 (92.50%)	333 (93.80%)	0.444
<b>Occasional</b>			
Occasional	24,553 (4.71%)	16 (4.51%)	
<b>Frequent</b>			
Frequent	14,502 (2.78%)	6 (1.69%)	
<b>Exercise</b>			
No	263,320 (50.57%)	193 (54.37%)	0.358
<150 min/week	180,540 (34.67%)	114 (32.11%)	
>150 min/week	76,883 (14.76%)	48 (13.52%)	
<b>Hypertension</b>			
No	375,652 (72.14%)	265 (74.65%)	0.292
Yes	145,091 (27.86%)	90 (25.35%)	
<b>Diabetes</b>			
No	461,197 (88.57%)	317 (89.30%)	0.665
Yes	59,546 (11.43%)	38 (10.70%)	
<b>Hyperlipidemia</b>			
No	489,383 (93.98%)	337 (94.93%)	0.451
Yes	31,360 (6.02%)	18 (5.07%)	

\*P&lt;0.05.

classification error which might have led to an underestimation of the NPC risk. Notwithstanding, our findings still indicate that the actual effect could have been significant at the last quartile of PM<sub>10-2.5</sub>. In addition, average concentrations of atmospheric pollutants measured by fixed monitoring stations were assumed to be the participants' exposure data. This assumption might not be completely correct as the participants might have spent time both indoors and outdoors. Moreover, the ambient air concentrations registered by the fixed monitoring stations may differ as one moves away from those stations. This might have influenced the results. However, no algorithms have been validated to determine an individual's exposure to pollutants and, therefore, it is not very feasible to estimate individual exposures to air pollution.<sup>32</sup> As such, measurements from nearby monitoring stations are usually used as proxies for individual exposure estimates.<sup>32</sup> Even though this method is prone to exposure misclassification, exposure classification error might have been minimized in our study as both the cases and non-cases of NPC are believed to have been non-differentially misclassified. Furthermore, data on some covariates were only available in the APMSD (2012–2013). As such, we considered the APMSD (2012–2013) covariate data as our baseline data. Measuring covariates after the exposure may result in biased results. However, in Taiwan, the air pollution exposure pattern in the past years has been constant.<sup>2</sup> Moreover, the levels of air pollutants between 2006–2008 and 2012–2013 were highly correlated (table not shown). This implies that the exposure data in 2006–2008 might not have been too different from those in 2012 and 2013. Therefore, the bias resulting from using the exposure data from 2006 to 2008 and covariates from 2012 to 2013 might have been minimized.

In conclusion, coarse PM (PM<sub>10-2.5</sub>) was significantly associated with a higher risk of NPC in Taiwanese men in the current study. To support these findings, more studies are warranted.

Table 4 Association of nasopharyngeal carcinoma with PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10-2.5</sub> in Taiwanese men

Variables	Model 1			Model 2			Model 3			Model 4		
	OR	95% CI	P value	OR	95% CI	P value	OR	95% CI	P value	OR	95% CI	P value
PM <sub>10</sub>												
PM <sub>10</sub> <50.66	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
50.66≤PM <sub>10</sub> <58.89	1.31	0.91 to 1.88	0.145	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58.89≤PM <sub>10</sub> <70.23	1.52	1.09 to 2.13	0.015*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PM <sub>10</sub> ≥70.23	1.52	1.03 to 2.23	0.034*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PM <sub>2.5</sub>												
PM <sub>2.5</sub> <30.42	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-
30.42≤PM <sub>2.5</sub> <32.71	-	-	-	0.69	0.46 to 1.04	0.075	-	-	-	0.70	0.46 to 1.07	0.103
32.71≤PM <sub>2.5</sub> <40.35	-	-	-	1.09	0.75 to 1.57	0.665	-	-	-	0.86	0.56 to 1.31	0.476
PM <sub>2.5</sub> ≥40.35	-	-	-	1.08	0.75 to 1.55	0.686	-	-	-	0.87	0.58 to 1.31	0.492
PM <sub>10-2.5</sub>												
PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> <20.44	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
20.44≤PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> <24.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.47	1.03 to 2.11	0.036*	1.42	0.96 to 2.12	0.082
24.08≤PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> <29.27	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.34	0.94 to 1.91	0.109	1.41	0.94 to 2.10	0.097
PM <sub>10-2.5</sub> ≥29.27	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.68	1.16 to 2.44	0.006*	1.71	1.10 to 2.66	0.018*
SO <sub>2</sub>												
SO <sub>2</sub> <3.65	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
3.65≤SO <sub>2</sub> <4.63	0.93	0.65 to 1.34	0.706	1.16	0.77 to 1.74	0.468	0.89	0.62 to 1.28	0.526	1.01	0.66 to 1.55	0.965
4.63≤SO <sub>2</sub> <5.81	1.03	0.67 to 1.60	0.880	1.15	0.75 to 1.76	0.524	0.99	0.65 to 1.50	0.945	1.00	0.65 to 1.54	0.995
SO <sub>2</sub> ≥5.81	1.17	0.73 to 1.88	0.511	1.43	0.89 to 2.32	0.143	1.18	0.73 to 1.91	0.506	1.26	0.75 to 2.13	0.381
NOx												
NOx<20.50	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
20.50≤NOx<27.25	0.98	0.66 to 1.46	0.925	1.07	0.72 to 1.58	0.753	1.09	0.73 to 1.65	0.670	1.13	0.75 to 1.72	0.561
27.25≤NOx<32.80	0.70	0.40 to 1.22	0.209	0.70	0.41 to 1.19	0.184	0.73	0.41 to 1.32	0.301	0.72	0.40 to 1.30	0.276
NOx≥32.80	0.94	0.51 to 1.71	0.834	0.91	0.51 to 1.63	0.752	0.94	0.51 to 1.74	0.841	0.92	0.50 to 1.69	0.777
O <sub>3</sub>												
O <sub>3</sub> <25.71	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-	1.00	-	-
25.71≤O <sub>3</sub> <27.64	1.13	0.81 to 1.57	0.466	1.14	0.77 to 1.68	0.513	1.10	0.81 to 1.49	0.555	1.03	0.69 to 1.56	0.878
27.64≤O <sub>3</sub> <30.19	0.83	0.54 to 1.28	0.400	0.74	0.47 to 1.17	0.197	0.72	0.49 to 1.08	0.109	0.65	0.40 to 1.04	0.075
O <sub>3</sub> ≥30.19	0.77	0.46 to 1.29	0.317	0.70	0.41 to 1.20	0.197	0.72	0.44 to 1.19	0.197	0.64	0.37 to 1.11	0.111

Models 1, 2 and 3: Adjusted for SO<sub>2</sub>, NOx, O<sub>3</sub>, age, BMI, smoking, alcohol drinking, betel nut chewing, exercise, hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia.Model 4: Adjusted for PM<sub>2.5</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, NOx, O<sub>3</sub>, age, BMI, smoking, alcohol drinking, betel nut chewing, exercise, hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia.

\*P&lt;0.05.

**Acknowledgements** We thank the Health and Welfare Data Science Center (HWDC), Ministry of Health and Welfare, Taiwan for giving access to the databases.

**Contributors** H-CH, DMT, S-YH, ONN, C-YC, and Y-PL conceived the study. C-YC and Y-PL supervised the study. H-CH, DMT, S-YH, ONN, C-YC, C-FLF, C-CL, C-CH, and Y-PL analyzed and interpreted the data. H-CH and DMT drafted the manuscript. H-CH, S-YH, ONN, C-YC, C-FLF, C-CL, C-CH, and Y-PL critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

**Funding** The Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), Taiwan funded this work (MOST 104-2420-H-040-001, 105-2627-M-040-002; 106-2627-M-040-002, 106-EPA-F-016-001, 107-EPA-F-017-002, and MOST 108-2621-M-040-001).

**Competing interests** None declared.

**Patient consent for publication** Not required.

**Ethics approval** Institutional Review Board of Chung Shan Medical University Hospital.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** Data may be obtained from a third party and are not publicly available.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, an indication of whether changes were made, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

#### ORCID iD

Yung-Po Liaw <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2046-4964>

#### REFERENCES

- Grant WB. Air pollution in relation to U.S. cancer mortality rates: an ecological study; likely role of carbonaceous aerosols and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Anticancer Res* 2009;29:3537–45.
- Chu Y-H, Kao S-W, Tantoh DM, et al. Association between fine particulate matter and oral cancer among Taiwanese men. *J Investig Med* 2019;67:34–8.
- Farias TP, Dias FL, Lima RA, et al. Prognostic factors and outcome for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2003;129:794–9.
- IARC. Outdoor air pollution a leading environmental cause of cancer deaths. [web site], 2013. Available: [http://www.iarc.fr/en/media-centre/pr/2013/pdfs/pr221\\_E.pdf](http://www.iarc.fr/en/media-centre/pr/2013/pdfs/pr221_E.pdf)
- Michikawa T, Ueda K, Takeuchi A, et al. Coarse particulate matter and emergency ambulance dispatches in Fukuoka, Japan: a time-stratified case-crossover study. *Environ Health Prev Med* 2015;20:130–6.
- Peng RD et al. Coarse particulate matter air pollution and hospital admissions for cardiovascular and respiratory diseases among Medicare patients. *JAMA* 2008;299:2172–9.
- Lippmann M, Chen L-C. Health effects of concentrated ambient air particulate matter (CAPS) and its components. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 2009;39:865–913.
- Keet CA, Keller JP, Peng RD. Long-Term coarse particulate matter exposure is associated with asthma among children in Medicaid. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2018;197:737–46.
- Willers SM, Eriksson C, Gidhagen L, et al. Fine and coarse particulate air pollution in relation to respiratory health in Sweden. *European Respiratory Journal* 2013;42:924–34.
- Brunekreef B, Forsberg B. Epidemiological evidence of effects of coarse airborne particles on health. *Eur Respir J* 2005;26:309–18.
- Salehiniya H, Mohammadian M, Mohammadian-Hafshejani A, et al. Nasopharyngeal cancer in the world: epidemiology, incidence, mortality and risk factors. *World Cancer Research Journal* 2018;5.
- Yu MC, Yuan J-M. Epidemiology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Semin Cancer Biol* 2002;12:421–9.
- Carioli G, Negri E, Kawakita D, et al. Global trends in nasopharyngeal cancer mortality since 1970 and predictions for 2020: focus on low-risk areas. *Int J Cancer* 2017;140:2256–64.
- Feng B-J, Khyatti M, Ben-Ayoub W, et al. Cannabis, tobacco and domestic fumes intake are associated with nasopharyngeal carcinoma in North Africa. *Br J Cancer* 2009;101:1207–12.
- Wei WI, Sham JST. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *The Lancet* 2005;365:2041–54.
- Chua MLK, Wee JTS, Hui EP, et al. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *The Lancet* 2016;387:1012–24.
- Chen Y-P, Chan ATC, Le Q-T, et al. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *The Lancet* 2019;394:64–80.
- Fan H-C, Chen C-Y, Hsu Y-C, et al. Increased risk of incident nasopharyngeal carcinoma with exposure to air pollution. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0204568.
- Registry TC. Cancer incidence and mortality rates in Taiwan.
- Chen Y, Yang Q, Krewski D, et al. The effect of coarse ambient particulate matter on first, second, and overall hospital admissions for respiratory disease among the elderly. *Inhal Toxicol* 2005;17:649–55.
- Hetland RB, Cassee FR, Refsnes M, et al. Release of inflammatory cytokines, cell toxicity and apoptosis in epithelial lung cells after exposure to ambient air particles of different size fractions. *Toxicol In Vitro* 2004;18:203–12.
- Lin C-H, Wu Y-L, Lai C-H, et al. Air quality measurements from the southern particulate matter supersite in Taiwan. *Aerosol Air Qual Res* 2008;8:233–64.
- Hung L-J, Tsai S-S, Chen P-S, et al. Traffic air pollution and risk of death from breast cancer in Taiwan: fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) as a proxy marker. *Aerosol Air Qual Res* 2012;12:275–82.
- Environmental Protection Administration T. Taiwan Air Quality Monitoring Network Instruments [web site], 2019. Available: <https://taqm.epa.gov.tw/taqm/en/b0102-3.aspx> [Accessed 22 Jul 2019].
- Tian W-H. The effect of free adult preventive care services on subsequent utilization of inpatient services in Taiwan. *Int J Health Serv* 2016;46:547–65.
- Adar SD, Filigrana PA, Clements N, et al. Ambient coarse particulate matter and human health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Curr Environ Health Rep* 2014;1:258–74.
- Armstrong RW, Imrey PB, Lye MS, et al. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Malaysian Chinese: occupational exposures to particles, formaldehyde and heat. *Int J Epidemiol* 2000;29:991–8.
- Ljubimova JY, Braubach O, Patil R, et al. Coarse particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5-10</sub>) in Los Angeles Basin air induces expression of inflammation and cancer biomarkers in rat brains. *Sci Rep* 2018;8:5708.
- Mehta M, Chen L-C, Gordon T, et al. Particulate matter inhibits DNA repair and enhances mutagenesis. *Mutat Res* 2008;657:116–21.
- Wong ICK, Ng Y-K, Lui VVY, et al. Cancers of the lung, head and neck on the rise: perspectives on the genotoxicity of air pollution. *Chin J Cancer* 2014;33:476.
- Vineis P, Husgafvel-Pursiainen K. Air pollution and cancer: biomarker studies in human populations. *Carcinogenesis* 2005;26:1846–55.
- Shahadin MS, Ab. Mutalib NS, Latif MT, et al. Challenges and future direction of molecular research in air pollution-related lung cancers. *Lung Cancer* 2018;118:69–75.