Association of gender-specific risk factors in metabolic and cardiovascular diseases: an NHANES-based cross-sectional study

Xiu-E Zhang,^{1,2} Bei Cheng,^{1,2} Qian Wang,^{1,2} Jing-Jing Wan^{1,2}

ABSTRACT

¹Department of Geriatrics, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China ²Institute of Geriatrics, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

Correspondence to

Dr Xiu-È Zhang, Department of Geriatrics, Institute of Geriatrics, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, China; zxe331@macsohu.com

Accepted 8 July 2017

In the present cross-sectional study, based on National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES, 2007–2010) cohorts, various risk factors for metabolic syndrome (MetS) and cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) were analyzed (n=12,153). The variables analyzed include, demographics, comorbidities associated with MetS or CVD. behavioral and dietary factors, while the primary endpoints were the prevalence of MetS and CVD. The prevalence of MetS and CVD was slightly higher in males as compared with females (42.50% and 7.65% vs 41.29% and 4.13%, respectively). After controlling for confounding factors, advanced age, family history of diabetes mellitus (DM), overweight, and obesity were significantly associated with the likelihood of MetS, irrespective of gender differences. In males, the diagnosis of prostate cancer and regular smoking were additional risk factors of MetS, whereas, advanced age, family history of heart attack or angina, health insurance coverage, diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis or depression, obesity and low calorie intake were identified as risk factors for CVD. In addition to the above risk factors, higher physical activity and vitamin D insufficiency were also found to increase the risk of CVD in females. Furthermore, obesity was a higher risk factor for MetS than CVD. Emerging risk factors for CVD identified in this study has major clinical implications. Of interest is the correlation of higher physical activity and the risk of CVD in women and the role of depression and lower calorie intake in general population.

INTRODUCTION



To cite: Zhang X-E, Cheng B, Wang Q, et al. J Investig Med Published Online First: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/jim-2017-000434 Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is an important risk factor for the subsequent development of cardiovascular disease (CVD). MetS is a multiplex risk factor that arises from insulin resistance accompanying abnormal adipose deposition and function.¹ Clinical manifestations of MetS include hypertension, hyperglycemia, hypertriglyceridemia, reduced high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), and abdominal obesity.

MetS is a growing health concern globally^{2–5} and is equally prevalent in men (24%) and women (22%) after adjusting for age.⁶ However, there are several factors that are unique to women, including pregnancy, use of oral contraceptives, postpartum breast feeding, polycystic ovarian

Significance of the study

What is already known about this subject?

- After adjusting for age, the prevalence of metabolic syndrome is similar in men and women (22% and 24%, respectively).
- Patients with metabolic syndrome have a greater risk of developing diabetes mellitus and coronary heart disease later in life.
- The risk factors underlying metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular diseases are similar, and cardiovascular disease is often preceded by metabolic syndrome.

What are the new findings?

- The present analysis reveals risk factors that are not previously linked to cardiovascular disease, namely, the correlation of higher physical activity in women, and the role of depression and low calorie intake in the general population.
- Diagnosis of depression (adj. OR=2.46, 95% CI 1.13 to 5.36), higher physical activity (adj. OR=2.93, 95% CI 1.63 to 5.26), and low total calorie intake (adj. OR=2.40, 95% CI 1.07 to 5.67) were found to be highly associated with the development of cardiovascular disease in females, after controlling for confounding factors.
- In males, diagnosis of depression (adj. OR=1.80, 95% CI 1.09 to 2.92) and low total calorie intake (adj. OR=2.20, 95% CI 1.22 to 3.98) was shown to be strongly associated with the development of cardiovascular disease.

syndrome and so on.⁷ We hypothesized that these additional factors may increase the risk of CVD in women with MetS and assessed the role of gender in the development of MetS and CVD in the present study.

In addition to adipose dysfunction and insulin resistance,^{8 9} psychological characteristics also play a major role in the development of MetS. Anger, depression, and hostility had been shown to be associated with increased risk for MetS.¹⁰ Recent reports indicate that MetS is significantly associated with lifetime major depression and the presence of any anxiety disorder.^{11 12} Furthermore, there is compelling

1

Significance of the study

How might these results change the focus of research or clinical practice?

- Increased total physical activity is thought to be associated with the reduced risk of coronary heart diseases, but in females, intense and strenuous exercise may have adverse effects.
- A low-calorie, high-fiber diet is often recommended to reduce the risk of metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease, but our results suggest that a low calorie intake may increase the risk. The underlying mechanism needs to be further investigated.
- Patients with depression need more support and attention, as it increases their risk in developing metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular disease.

evidence linking CVD with major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder.¹³ ¹⁴ In recent epidemiological studies in the USA, the prevalence of CVD among adults with major depressive disorder was nearly threefold greater than those without mood disorders.¹⁵

The association of traditional risk factors like family history, poor dietary habits, sleep disorders, and inadequate physical activity in the development of MetS and CVD had been well established. However, recent reports indicate that new risk factors that were not previously associated with CVDs are becoming apparent with drastic changes in lifestyle and dietary habits among the general population across the globe. In light of this, we undertook a cross-sectional analysis of the population by utilizing the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) database of Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in the USA. We believed that modern lifestyle and dietary habits may lead to an increased risk of MetS and CHD.

Furthermore, though the risk factors underlying the MetS and CVD are similar, CVD is tightly associated with a variety of other behavioral and genetic factors. The present study was undertaken to analyze the risk factors for both diseases separately in order to delineate the commonalities and differences in the behavioral and dietary factors leading to the emergence of one or the other. Recognizing the underlying risk factors may help healthcare providers in identifying individuals prone to CVD at routine clinic visits and to further improve the public health policy.

METHODS

Design, subjects, and endpoints

This cross-sectional study was performed using data stored in the NHANES, CDC, National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), and the US Department of Health and Human Services (http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/) (year 2007~2010 cycles). All data from NHANES database were deidentified and hence, analysis of the data did not require Institutional Review Board approval or informed consent by subjects.

The present study sample consisted of NHANES participants above 20 years old (n=12,153). Subjects who were more than 80 years old was recorded as 80. Subjects with complete data for coronary heart disease, angina/angina pectoris, or heart attack were included in the analysis of CVDs (n=12,054). Individuals who did not participate in the fasting subsample were excluded from the analysis of the MetS (n=4920). The endpoints of the present study were the prevalence of MetS and CVDs. Criteria of MetS was based on guidelines developed by the 2001 National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (ATP III). ATP III MetS criteria were updated in 2005 in a statement from the American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Prevalence of CVDs included self-reported coronary heart disease, angina/ angina pectoris, and heart attack in the questionnaire of 'Medical condition' section.

Study variables

The variables obtained for each disease group were patient demographics (age, gender, race/ethnicity, and marital status), family history (diabetes and heart attack/angina), socioeconomic status (education level, ratio of family income to poverty, and health insurance status), behavioral factors (body mass index (BMI), smoking history, alcohol use, physical activity, sleeping hours, postpartum breast feeding, and last childbearing age), dietary factors (vitamin D insufficiency, total daily calorie consumption, and total daily sugar consumption), and disease association (self-reported medical condition of rheumatoid arthritis, depression, asthma, osteoporosis, and prostate cancer). Details of each variable is discussed below.

Metabolic syndrome

Current ATP III criteria¹⁶ define MetS as the presence of any **three** of the following five traits:

- 1. abdominal obesity, defined as a waist circumference in men ≥102 cm (40 in) and in women ≥88 cm (35 in).
- serum triglycerides ≥150 mg/dL (1.7 mmol/L) or drug treatment for elevated triglycerides.
- serum HDL-C <40 mg/dL (1 mmol/L) in men and <50 mg/dL (1.3 mmol/L), in women or drug treatment for low HDL-C.
- 4. blood pressure ≥130/85 mm Hg or drug treatment for elevated blood pressure.
- 5. fasting plasma glucose $\geq 100 \text{ mg/dL}$ (5.6 mmol/L) or drug treatment for elevated blood glucose.

Demographic data

Subjects were administered the Family and Sample Person Demographics questionnaires at home by trained interviewers using a Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing (CAPI) system. The CAPI system is programmed with built-in consistency checks to reduce data entry errors. CAPI also uses online help screens to assist interviewers in defining key terms used in the questionnaire.¹⁷

- Age, gender, race/ethnicity and marital status from the 'Demographic variables and sample weights' in the NHANES database were recorded.
- We separated the subjects by their gender into two categories, so as to identify the unique risk factors for each gender.
- Race/ethnicity was self-reported as Mexican American, other Hispanic, non-Hispanic white, non-Hispanic black, and other race, including multiracial. We further stratified them into three racial groups: non-Hispanic white,

Hispanic, non-Hispanic black, and others (including Mexican American and other races).

Family history

► Family history of diabetes and heart attack/angina were self-reported using interviewer-administered questionnaires (medical conditions) from NHANES database.

Socioeconomic status

- ► Education level, ratio of family income to poverty, and health insurance status were recorded using interviewer-administered questionnaires (demographic variables and sample weights) from NHANES database.
- ► Ratio of family income to poverty refers to the ratio of family income to poverty threshold. Range of values include 0–5. Value of 5 and greater were recorded as 5.

Behavioral factors

Body mass index

- BMI data were recorded based on 'Body Measures' of NHANES Examination Protocol.¹⁸ The body measurement data were collected, in the Mobile Examination Center (MEC), by trained health technicians.
- We further categorized data based on WHO criteria into: underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m²), normal (BMI=18.5~24.9 kg/m²), overweight (BMI=25~29.9 kg/m²), and obese (BMI ≥30.0 kg/m²).

Smoking history

- Smoking status was recorded using interviewer-administered questionnaires (smoking – cigarettes use) from NHANES database.
- We further categorized the subjects into current regular smoker and never regular smoker.

Risky alcohol use

- The National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism in the USA has estimated the amount of alcohol consumption that can increase health risks.¹⁹
 For men under the age of 65:
- More than 14 standard drinks per week on average.
- More than four drinks on any day. Women and adults 65 years and older:
- More than seven standard drinks per week on average.
- More than three drinks on any day.

Physical activity: metabolic equivalent of task (MET) score

- MET score²⁰ was calculated from the provided data using the interviewer-administered questionnaires (physical activity) from NHANES database.
- MET score at 600 MET-min/week is considered having a moderate intensity of physical activity based on WHO recommendation, and we set this as the cut-off value in our study.

Sleep duration

Participants were asked using the CAPI system at the comfort of their home, 'How much sleep do you usually get at night on weekdays or workdays?' The numbers of hours of sleep were recorded.

- ► National Sleep Foundation has updated their recommendations for daily sleep amounts across the lifespan, clarifying that the average recommended amount of hours 'may be appropriate,' but varies significantly among subjects, and new ranges for each age group were given.²¹
- Based on the National Sleep Foundation recommendation, we further categorized data into normal sleep duration, short sleep duration, and long sleep duration.

Postpartum breast feeding

- These questions were administered at the MEC, by trained interviewers, using the CAPI system as a part of the MEC interview.
- Participants were asked, 'Did you breast feed your child or any of your children for at least onemonth?' in the questionnaire, and an answer of yes or no was obtained.

Last childbearing age

- These questions were administered at the MEC, by trained interviewers, using the CAPI system as a part of the MEC interview.
- Participants were asked, 'How old were you at the time of your last live birth?' in the questionnaire, and the range of age was recorded.
- ▶ We categorized the age range into 20s, 30s, and more than 40s.

Dietary factors

Vitamin D insufficiency

- Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25 (OH)D) data were extracted based on 'Vitamin D' from NHANES Examination Protocol.
- ► There is no definite consensus on the value of vitamin D deficiency. After careful evaluation of literature, we set our cut-off value at <40 nmol/L.²²
- ► The most widely used indicator of vitamin D status is the measurement of 25(OH)D in either serum or plasma. The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) along with the National Institutes of Health's Office of Dietary Supplements developed a standard reference material for circulating vitamin D analysis and have suggested the use of liquid chromatography-coupled with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) measurement procedure developed by NIST.^{23 24}

Total daily calorie and sugar consumption

- ► The in-person interview was conducted in a private room in the NHANES MEC. A set of measuring guides (various glasses, bowls, mugs, drink boxes and bottles, household spoons, measuring cups and spoons, a ruler, thickness sticks, bean bags, and circles) was available in the MEC dietary interview room for the participant to use for reporting the amounts of foods.
- ▶ NHANES collected data on study participants' use of dietary supplements for 30 days during the Dietary Supplements Section in the household interview. In 2007–2008, additional information on supplement and antacid use for the previous 24 hours was collected to provide data of the same timeframe as the food and beverage intake. With a similar protocol, the 24-hour

dietary supplement interview was collected following the 24-hour dietary recall. All NHANES examinees responding to the dietary recall interview were eligible for the dietary supplement and antacid use questions. Information was obtained on all vitamins, minerals, herbals, and other dietary supplements that were consumed during a 24-hour time period (midnight to midnight), including the name and the amount of dietary supplement taken.²⁵

- Data of total daily calorie and total daily sugar consumption were extracted.
- Based on the dietary guidelines for Americans in 2010,²⁶ we categorized data into recommended intake, higher intake, and lower intake based on age and gender differences.

Diseases association

- ► Different medical conditions were self-reported using the interviewer-administered questionnaires (medical conditions) from NHANES database.
- ► For identification of depression, we used 'Patient Health Questionnaire'²⁷ as our screener. Patient with score of 5 and greater is considered mild depression, while score of < five indicates no depression.
- The Depression Screener questions are from the Patient Health Questionnaire, a version of the Prime-MD diagnostic instrument. They are a self-reported assessment of the past 2 weeks, based on nine Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders,Fifth Edition (DSM-IV) signs and symptoms from depression. The nine symptom questions are scored from '0' (not at all) to '3' (nearly every day). Depression severity can be defined by several cut points from the total score that ranges from 0 to 27.²⁸ A final follow-up question assesses the overall impairment of the depressive symptoms. The depression screener was conducted as part of the MEC interview questionnaire, using interviewer administered CAPI system in Spanish or English. No proxy or interpreters were permitted for these questions.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Demographic data, basic characteristics, and dietary intake were expressed as mean (SE) for continuous variables, or unweighted counts (weighted %) for categorical variables. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to determine the potential factors associated with MetS and CVD. Variables having a p value < 0.05 in the univariate analysis were selected and further evaluated using multivariate logistic regression models with stepwise selection. All analyses included fasting subsample weight and stratum. Primary sampling units (PSUs) were per recommendations from NCHS to address oversampling, non-response, and non-coverage and to provide a nationally representative estimate. Statistic assessments were two sided and evaluated at the 0.05 level of significance. Statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software package SPSS complex sample module V.22.0.

RESULTS

Demographics, behavioral and dietary factors

Our study population comprised of participants aged 20 years and older, included in the 2007 to 2010 NHANES

cohorts (n=12,153). Those with complete data for coronary heart disease, angina/angina pectoris, or heart attack were included in the analysis of CVDs (n=12,054). Individuals who did not participate in the fasting subsample were excluded from the analysis of the MetS (n=4920). Using NHANES fasting subsample weight, the analytic sample size was equivalent to a population-based sample size of 104,190,537 males and 110,589,267 females. Table 1 lists the characteristics, behavior and dietary intake of the participants according to gender differences. The mean age among the 2343 men was 45.84 years and among the 2577 women was 47.69 years. The prevalence of MetS and CVD were 42.50% and 7.65% for males and 41.29% and 4.13% for females, respectively.

Potential risk factors for MetS and CVD in males

The results of multivariate logistic regression analysis examining potential factors associated with MetS or CVD in males are shown in figure 1. Data from the univariate analysis indicate age, race, family history of diabetes mellitus (DM) and heart attack or angina, marital status, education level, health insurance status, rheumatoid arthritis, prostate cancer, BMI, physical activity, regular smokers, sleep duration, and daily calorie consumption were associated with MetS (data not shown). After adjusting for all potential factors, the results of multivariate analysis demonstrated that elderly subjects (adjusted OR=1.05, 95% CI 1.03 to 1.07), having a family history of DM (adjusted OR=1.39, 95% CI 1.02 to 1.89), or prostate cancer diagnosis (adjusted OR=2.27, 95% CI 1.09 to 4.72), or overweight (adjusted OR=3.65, 95% CI 2.37 to 5.62), or obesity (adjusted OR=16.22, 95% CI 10.71 to 24.56) or regular smoking (adjusted OR=1.77, 95%CI 1.27 to 2.46) were significantly associated with the likelihood of MetS among the male participants (figure 1A).

According to univariate analysis, age, race, family history of heart attack or angina, marital status, education level, health insurance status, rheumatoid arthritis, depression, osteoporosis, prostate cancer, BMI, physical activity, regular smoking, and sleep duration were associated with the likelihood of CVD in males. Moreover, elderlv (adjusted OR=1.09, 95% CI 1.08 to 1.11), having family history of heart attack or angina (adjusted OR=2.36, 95% CI 1.30 to 4.29), health insurance coverage (adjusted OR=0.40, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.93), diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis (adjusted OR=2.45, 95% CI 1.32 to 4.55), depression (adjusted OR=1.80, 95%CI 1.09 to 2.92), obesity (adjusted OR=1.86, 95%CI 1.02 to 3.41), and low total calorie intake (adjusted OR=2.20, 95% CI 1.22 to 3.98) were likely to be more associated with the development of CVD in males after controlling for potential factors (figure 1B).

Potential risk factors for MetS and CVD in females

The results of multivariate logistic regressions examining potential factors associated with MetS and CVD in females are shown in figure 2. After adjustment for all potential factors, the results of multivariate analysis demonstrated that elderly subjects (adjusted OR=1.08, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.10), having family history of DM (adjusted OR=1.70, 95% CI 1.20 to 2.41), overweight (adjusted OR=4.77,

 Table 1
 Characteristics of subjects (males, n=2343, weighted n=104,190,537; and females n=2577, weighted n=110,589,267) included in the NHANES database between 2007 and 2010

	Males (n=2343)	Females (n=2577)		Males (n=2343)	Females (n=2577
Metabolic syndrome, n (%)	1108 (42.50)	1210 (41.29)	Prostate cancer, n (%)		
Cardiovascular diseases, n (%)	237 (7.65)	140 (4.13)	Yes	75 (2.21)	
Coronary heart disease	147 (4.98)	62 (1.70)	No	1483 (57.41)	
Angina/angina pectoris	78 (2.50)	54 (1.64)	Missing	785 (40.38)	
Heart attack	150 (4.66)	84 (2.57)	Behavioral factors		
Age (years)	45.84 (0.52)	47.69 (0.42)	BMI, n (%)		
Race, n (%)			Underweight	20 (1.09)	47 (2.16)
Mexican American	429 (9.41)	486 (7.64)	Normal weight	587 (26.40)	723 (33.14
Other Hispanic	253 (5.11)	314 (5.06)	Overweight	910 (38.45)	774 (28.66
Non-Hispanic white	1141 (68.81)	1202 (69.22)	Obese	790 (32.84)	1011 (35.26
Non-Hispanic black	409 (10.13)	457 (11.89)	Missing	36 (1.23)	22 (0.78)
Other race-including multiracial	111 (6.55)	118 (6.19)	Physical activity, n (%)		
Family history–DM, n (%)			MET scores <600	777 (27.33)	1264 (43.94
Yes	877 (36.18)	1067 (37.20)	MET scores >600	1561 (72.50)	1309 (55.8)
No	1404 (61.40)	1458 (60.82)	Missing (%)	5 (0.18)	4 (0.21)
Refused/don't know	62 (2.43)	52 (1.98)	Cigarettes smoking, n (%)	- ()	. ()
amily history–heart attack/angina, n (%)	02 (21.10)	52 (1156)	Regular smokers	1211 (48.70)	941 (38.0 ⁻
Yes	265 (10.85)	371 (13.60)	Non-regular smokers	1126 (51.16)	1630 (61.8)
No	2012 (86.54)	2139 (84.23)	Missing (%)	6 (0.14)	6 (0.19)
Refused/don't know	66 (2.60)	67 (2.17)	Alcoholism, n (%)	0 (0.14)	0 (0.19)
	00 (2.00)	07 (2.17)			26 (0.00)
Marital status, n (%)	1500 (60.26)	1400 (00 50)	Yes	55 (2.22)	26 (0.99)
Married/living with partner	1588 (68.36)	1408 (60.59)	No	1688 (76.18)	1884 (76.4
Widowed/divorced/separated	368 (12.18)	759 (23.29)	Missing	600 (21.60)	667 (22.5
Never married	387 (19.46)	409 (15.98)	Sleep duration, n (%)		
Refused/don't know	0 (0.00)	1 (0.13)	Short sleep duration	960 (39.13)	980 (34.32
ducation level, n (%)			Normal sleep duration	1276 (57.92)	1479 (61.8
Less than ninth grade	311 (6.66)	325 (6.22)	Long sleep duration	107 (2.95)	117 (3.81)
9~11thgrade	379 (12.62)	411 (12.27)	Missing	0 (0.00)	1 (0.03)
High school grad/GED or equivalent	576 (23.92)	587 (23.41)	Postpartum breast feeding, n (%))	
Some college or AA degree	571 (28.07)	742 (30.00)	Breast fed any child		1090 (40.6
College graduate or above	505 (28.72)	505 (28.00)	Not breast fed any child		812 (29.60
Refused/don't know	1 (0.02)	7 (0.10)	Never pregnant		322 (16.0)
amily poverty income ratio*	3.12 (0.05)	2.90 (0.06)	Missing		353 (13.74
lealth insurance status, n (%)			Last childbearing age, n (%)		
Covered by health insurance	1691 (77.16)	1994 (83.01)	Never pregnant		322 (16.0)
Not covered by health insurance	647 (22.72)	582 (16.90)	Age at last live birth within 20 s		952 (36.7
Refused/don't know	5 (0.12)	1 (0.09)	Age at last live birth within 30 s		731 (26.2)
Rheumatoid arthritis, n (%)			Age at last live birth more than 40 s		90 (2.61)
Yes	114 (3.42)	172 (4.81)	Missing		482 (18.31)
No	1998 (88.22)	2126 (85.45)	Dietary factors		
Missing	231 (8.35)	279 (9.73)	Vitamin D insufficiency, n (%)		
No	1998 (88.22)	2126 (85.45)	Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D <40	450 (14.16)	562 (16.9
Depression, n (%)			Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D >40	1712 (77.78)	1816 (75.5
Yes	331 (13.51)	594 (20.75)	Missing	181 (8.05)	199 (7.53)
No	1878 (81.10)	1745 (70.77)	Total daily calorie consumption, r	ו (%)	
Missing	134 (5.39)	238 (8.48)	Higher total calorie intake	577 (29.11)	515 (21.90
Asthma, n (%)			Recommended total calorie intake	677 (29.92)	665 (26.6
Yes	131 (5.70)	246 (9.56)	Lower total calorie intake	1030 (38.27)	1325 (48.66

Table 1 Continued

	Males (n=2343)	Females (n=2577)		Males (n=2343)	Females (n=2577)
No	2201 (93.57)	2320 (89.97)	Missing	59 (2.70)	72 (2.72)
Missing	11 (0.73)	11 (0.47)	Total sugar daily consumption, n (%)	
Osteoporosis, n (%)			Higher total sugar daily intake	1622 (70.91)	1905 (74.81)
Yes	34 (1.11)	275 (9.59)	Recommended total sugar daily intake	256 (10.74)	256 (9.96)
No	2307 (98.77)	2287 (89.79)	Lower total sugar daily intake	406 (15.65)	344 (12.51)
Missing	2 (0.12)	15 (0.61)	Missing	59 (2.70)	72 (2.72)

*205 of males and 231 of females did not fill in family poverty income status.

AA, associate degrees; BMI, body mass index; DM, diabetes mellitus; GED, tests of general educational development; MET, metabolic equivalent of task; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.

95% CI 3.30 to 6.89), and obesity (adjusted OR=17.77, 95% CI 10.88 to 29.03) were significantly associated with the likelihood of MetS in female patients (figure 2A). Moreover, elderly subjects (adjusted OR=1.09, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.11), having family history of heart attack or angina (adjusted OR=2.11, 95% CI 1.15 to 3.88), diagnosis of depression (adjusted OR=2.46, 95% CI 1.13 to 5.36), obesity (adjusted OR=2.56, 95% CI 1.18 to 5.54), having higher physical activity (adjusted OR=2.93, 95% CI 1.63 to 5.26), vitamin D insufficiency (adjusted OR=2.68, 95% CI 1.40 to 5.13), and low total calorie intake (adjusted OR=2.40, 95% CI 1.07 to 5.67) were likely to be more associated with the development of CVD in females after controlling for confounding factors (figure 2B).

In a subgroup analysis, women were grouped by their MET score (MET <600 vs MET ≥600), and the results are shown in table 2. For the risk factors associated with CVD, age, rheumatoid arthritis, BMI, postpartum breast feeding, last childbearing age and vitamin D insufficiency may change the OR value for physical activity in women (from less than 1 in the univariate analysis to more than 1 in the multivariate analysis). We further tried to determine if these variables were associated with physical activity. The results indicate that rheumatoid arthritis, obesity, breast feeding, pregnancy and vitamin D insufficiency can alter the OR of MET score. The risk of CVD in women with higher physical activity (MET ≥600) is minimal; however, in the presence of the above risk factors, higher physical activity may in turn increase the risk of CVD (table 2).

Comparison of risk factors for MetS and CVD

Participants who were obese had a greater risk of developing MetS than CVD when compared with those with normal weight, after controlling for confounding factors (16.22 (95% CI 10.71 to 24.56) vs 1.86 (95% CI 1.02 to 3.41) in males and 17.77 (95% CI 10.88 to 29.03) vs 2.56 (95% CI 1.18 to 5.54) in females). Results indicate that obesity is a greater risk factor for MetS than CVD in both females and males.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, the prevalence and risk factors of MetS and CVD were evaluated using deidentified data extracted from the 2007–2010 NHANES database. Though not significantly different, the prevalence of MetS and CVD was slightly higher in males as compared with females (42.50% and 7.65% vs 41.29% and 4.13%, respectively). Our results indicate that advanced age, family history of DM, overweight, and obesity were significantly associated with the likelihood of MetS in both males and females, after controlling for all potential confounding factors. Additionally, men diagnosed with prostate cancer (adjusted OR=2.27, 95% CI 1.09 to 4.72) or who were regular smokers (adjusted OR=1.77, 95%CI 1.27 to 2.46) also had a high risk for MetS. However, advanced age, family history of heart attack or angina, health insurance coverage, diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis or depression, obesity, and low calorie intake were identified as risk factors for CVD in males (figure 1). Apart from these, higher physical activity (adjusted OR=2.93, 95%CI 1.63 to 5.26) and vitamin D insufficiency (adjusted OR=2.68, 95%CI 1.40 to 5.13) were also linked to an increased risk of CVD in females (figure 2). The increase in the risk of CVD is especially harmful in women with MET ≥ 600 and having other risk factors, like age, incidence of rheumatoid arthritis, obesity, breastfeeding, pregnancy and Vitamin D insufficiency (table 2). Furthermore, obesity was a higher risk factor for MetS than CVD.

The present analysis reveals and provides strong evidence for risk factors that are were not previously linked to CVD. Of particular interest is the association of high physical activity with the development of CVD in females. Based on the WHO recommendations, a MET score up to 600 MET-min/week is considered as moderate intensity of physical activity. Our results indicate that intense physical activity, that is, MET \geq 600, carried a higher risk of CVD in women, after adjusting all relevant factors (figure 2). This is somehow different from what we expected. The risk of CVD in women with higher physical activity (MET \geq 600) should be minimal as one would expect. However, our analvsis revealed that in younger women, who were less obese, never pregnant and did not breastfeed, having lower incidence of rheumatoid arthritis, and lower vitamin D insufficiency, a higher physical activity can increase the risk of CVD (table 2).

The inverse relationship between exercise and the risk of CVD has been well established in healthy adults and in those diagnosed with CVD.²⁹⁻³¹ Reports indicate that increased total physical activity was associated with reduced risk of coronary heart diseases in a dose-dependent manner,³² and the risk is almost doubled in sedentary individuals compared with those performing high-intensity exercise. However,

Α								
Variables	Adjusted OR	95	% CI		Adjı	isted OR and 959	%CI	
Age	1.05	1.03	1.07	1	Ĩ		1	Ĩ
Race (Mexican American vs. White)	0.83	0.54	1.29			- E		
Race (Other Hispanic vs. White)	0.77	0.48	1.22					
Race (Black vs. White)	0.62	0.36	1.06			-		
Race (Others vs. White)	1.6	0.61	4.22				-	
Family history-DM (Yes vs. No)	1.39	1.02	1.89					
Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. No)	1.31	0.73	2.36					
Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. Married)	1.22	0.72	2.06					
Marriage (Never married vs. Married)	1.09	0.57	2.09			_		
Education (9~11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade)	1.12	0.64	1.97					
Education (High school Grad vs. < 9th Grade)	1.17	0.72	1.93			-		
Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade)	0.88	0.58	1.35					
Education (College Graduate or above vs. < 9th Grade)	0.94	0.59	1.48					
Health insurance (not covered vs. covered)	1.17	0.71	1.92					
Rheumatoid arthritis (Yes vs. No)	1.72	0.86	3.42				9	
Prostate cancer (Yes vs. No)	2.27	1.09	4.72				-	
BMI (Underweight vs. Normal)	0.29	0.03	2.65					
BMI (Overweight vs. Normal)	3.65	2.37	5.62			- I	-	
BMI (Obese vs. Normal)	16.22	10.71	24.56					
Physical activity (MET scores > 600 vs. <=600)	0.92	0.58	1.45					
Regular smokers (Yes vs. No)	1.77	1.27	2.46			T		
Sleep duration (Short vs. Normal)	1.37	0.96	1.94			-		
Sleep duration (Long vs. Normal	1.03	0.49	2.15					
Calorie consumption (Higher vs. Recommended)	0.87	0.63	1.2					
Calorie consumption (Lower vs. Recommended)	1.07	0.75	1.53			-		
				0.01	0.1	1	10	10

ariables	Adjusted OR	95%	5 CI		Adjus	ted OR and 95%	60
Age	1.09	1.08	1.11	1	- T	10	
Race (Mexican American vs. White)	1.21	0.54	2.7				
Race (Other Hispanic vs. White)	0.96	0.5	1.83			-	
Race (Black vs. White)	0.73	0.33	1.63		_	- T -	
Race (Others vs. White)	1.98	0.66	5.92				
Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. No)	2.36	1.3	4.29				
Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. Married)	1.26	0.79	2				
Marriage (Never married vs. Married)	1.68	0.53	5.27				
Education $(9 \sim 11^{\text{th}} \text{Grade vs.} < 9 \text{th Grade})$	0.59	0.26	1.34			₩	
Education (High school Grad vs. < 9th Grade)	0.52	0.22	1.24				
Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade)	0.82	0.35	1.92		-		
Education (College Graduate or above vs. < 9th Grade)	0.75	0.34	1.66				
Health insurance (not covered vs. covered)	0.4	0.17	0.93			Ē	
Rheumatoid arthritis (Yes vs. No)	2.45	1.32	4.55				
Depression (Yes vs. No)	1.8	1.09	2.92				
Osteoporosis (Yes vs. No)	1.51	0.44	5.16				
Prostate cancer (Yes vs. No)	2.17	0.76	6.21				
BMI (Overweight vs. Normal)	1.08	0.59	1.99			-	
BMI (Obese vs. Normal)	1.86	1.02	3.41			- Fee	
Physical activity (MET scores > 600 vs. <=600)	0.91	0.56	1.48			-	
Regular smokers (Yes vs. No)	1.4	0.96	2.04			3	
Sleep duration (Short vs. Normal)	1.13	0.72	1.77			-	
Sleep duration (Long vs. Normal	1.02	0.45	2.33		-	- -	
Calorie consumption (Higher vs. Recommended)	0.73	0.36	1.47			- F	
Calorie consumption (Lower vs. Recommended)	2.2	1.22	3.98				
				0.01	0.1	1	

Figure 1 The results of multivariate logistic regression model for the risk factors associated with (A) metabolic syndrome or (B) cardiovascular diseases in males. (A) Associated with metabolic syndrome: age, race, family history of DM and heart attack or angina, marital status, education level, health insurance status, rheumatoid arthritis, prostate cancer, BMI, physical activity, regular smokers, sleep duration, and daily calorie consumption were included in the multivariate logistic regression model. (B) Associated with cardiovascular diseases: age, race, family history of heart attack or angina, marital status, education level, health insurance status, rheumatoid arthritis, depression, osteoporosis, prostate cancer, BMI, physical activity, regular smoking, and sleep duration were included in the multivariate logistic regression model.

what is not clear is that the level of exercise and cardiovascular outcomes is always linear. While compelling evidence indicate that regular and moderate exercise is favorable in healthy subjects and those with CVD, recent data suggest that higher doses of physical and athletic activity are associated with adverse cardiovascular outcomes.³³ Intense and strenuous exercise may have adverse effects in individuals with underlying CV abnormalities³⁴ and on those with otherwise normal heart.³⁵ Our results confirm that indeed higher physical activity is associated with increased risk of CVD in women. However, why this association is not seen in men is unclear.

Variables	Adjusted OR	95% C	I		Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Age	1.08	1.06	1.1	1	1 U I I
Race (Mexican American vs. White)	0.97	0.54	1.76		
Race (Other Hispanic vs. White)	1	0.54	1.84		
Race (Black vs. White)	0.79	0.49	1.29		
Race (Others vs. White)	1.34	0.56	3.19		
Family history-DM (Yes vs. No)	1.7	1.2	2.41		
Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. No)	0.84	0.53	1.32		
Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. Married)	0.87	0.53	1.32		
Marriage (Never married vs. Married)	0.66	0.38	1.16		
Education (9~11th Grade vs. < 9th Grade)	1.2	0.63	2.28		
Education (High school Grad vs. < 9th Grade)	1.22	0.58	2.6		
Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade)	0.81	0.41	1.58		
Education (College Graduate or above vs. < 9th Grade)	0.55	0.25	1.24		
Family poverty income status	0.96	0.84	1.09		
Health insurance (not covered vs. covered)	0.78	0.54	1.14		
Rheumatoid arthritis (Yes vs. No)	0.81	0.42	1.55		
Depression (Yes vs. No)	1.32	0.84	2.09		二 二 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一 一
Asthma (Yes vs. No)	1.09	0.47	2.52		
Osteoporosis (Yes vs. No)	0.99	0.55	1.79		
BMI (Underweight vs. Normal)	0.33	0.05	2.34		
BMI (Overweight vs. Normal)	4.77	3.3	6.89		
BMI (Obese vs. Normal)	17.77	10.88	29.03		
Physical activity (MET scores > 600 vs. <=600)	0.84	0.62	1.13		
Regular smokers (Yes vs. No)	1.07	0.79	1.44		÷ +
Sleep duration (Short vs. Normal)	1.3	0.97	1.75		
Sleep duration (Long vs. Normal	0.8	0.32	1.95		
Postpartum breastfeeding (Not breastfed vs. Breastfed)	1.12	0.77	1.62		
Postpartum breastfeeding (Never pregnant vs. Breastfed)	1.24	0.79	1.96		
Last childbearing age (Within 30s vs. Within 20s)	0.95	0.66	1.35		
Last childbearing age (More than 40s vs. Within 20s)	1.26	0.8	1.99		
Vitamin D insufficiency (25[OH]D<40 vs. >=40)	1.13	0.75	1.7		
Calorie consumption (Higher vs. Recommended)	1.17	0.78	1.77		
Calorie consumption (Lower vs. Recommended)	1.36	0.92	2		
Sugar consumption (Higher vs. Recommended)	1.1	0.63	1.91		
Sugarconsumption (Lower vs. Recommended)	0.99	0.42	2.34	0.04	
В				0.01	0.1 1 10 100
		Adjusted	95%	6 CI	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables		Adjusted OR	95%	6 CI	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
			95%	6 CI 1.11	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables	Jo)	OR 1.09	1.06	1.11	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N		OR 1.09 2.11	1.06 1.15	1.11 3.88	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M		OR 1.09 2.11 1.22	1.06 1.15 0.77	1.11 3.88 1.94	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married)		OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9~11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade)		OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9~11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (High school Grad vs. < 9th Grade)		OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71 0.9	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9–11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Bing school Grad vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade)	arried)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71 0.9 0.59	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9~11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (High school Grad vs. < 9th Grade)	arried)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71 0.9	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9–11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Bing school Grad vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade)	arried)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71 0.9 0.59	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9~11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (High school Grad vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade) Education (College Graduate or above vs. < 9th	arried)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.83	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71 0.9 0.59 0.24	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divoreed/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9~11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade) Education (College Graduate or above vs. < 9th Family poverty income status	arried)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.83 0.9	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71 0.9 0.59 0.24 0.72	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9~11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade) Education (College Graduate or above vs. < 9th Family poverty income status Health insurance (not covered vs. covered) Rheumatoid arthritis (Yes vs. No)	arried)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.83 0.9 1.27	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71 0.9 0.59 0.24 0.72 0.45	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.59	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9~11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (High school Grad vs. < 9th Grade) Education (College Graduate or above vs. < 9th Family poverty income status Health insurance (not covered vs. covered) Rheumatoid arthritis (Yes vs. No) Depression (Yes vs. No)	arried)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.83 0.9 1.27 1.75 2.46	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71 0.9 0.59 0.24 0.72 0.45 0.67 1.13	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.59 4.61 5.36	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (High school Grad vs. <9th Grade) Education (High school Grad vs. <9th Grade) Education (Gollege Graduate or above vs. <9th Family poverty income status Health insurance (not covered vs. covered) Rheumatoid arthritis (Yes vs. No) Depression (Yes vs. No) Asthma (Yes vs. No)	arried)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.83 0.9 1.27 1.75 2.46 1.27	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71 0.9 0.59 0.24 0.72 0.45 0.67 1.13 0.5	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.59 4.61 5.36 3.26	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9~11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade) Education (College Graduate or above vs. < 9th Family poverty income status Health insurance (not covered vs. covered) Rheumatoid arthritis (Yes vs. No) Depression (Yes vs. No) Asthma (Yes vs. No) Osteoproosis (Yes vs. No)	arried)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.83 0.9 1.27 1.75 2.46 1.27 1.75	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71 0.9 0.59 0.24 0.72 0.45 0.67 1.13 0.5 0.73	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.59 4.61 5.36 3.26 3.09	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9~11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade) Education (College Graduate or above vs. < 9th Family poverty income status Health insurance (not covered vs. covered) Rheumatoid arthritis (Yes vs. No) Depression (Yes vs. No) Asthma (Yes vs. No) Osteoporosis (Yes vs. No) BMI (Overweight vs. Normal)	arried)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.83 0.9 1.27 1.75 2.46 1.27 1.5 1.05	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71 0.9 0.59 0.24 0.72 0.45 0.67 1.13 0.5 0.73 0.56	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.59 4.61 5.36 3.26 3.09 1.98	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divoreed/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (High school Grad vs. <9th Grade) Education (High school Grad vs. <9th Grade) Education (Gollege Graduate or above vs. <9th Family poverty income status Health insurance (not covered vs. covered) Rheumatoid arthritis (Yes vs. No) Depression (Yes vs. No) Asthma (Yes vs. No) BMI (Overweight vs. Normal) BMI (Obese vs. Normal)	arried) Grade)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.83 0.9 1.27 1.75 2.46 1.27 1.5 2.56	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71 0.9 0.59 0.24 0.72 0.45 0.67 1.13 0.5 0.73 0.56 1.18	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.59 4.61 5.36 3.09 1.98 5.54	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9–11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade)	arried) Grade)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.8 0.9 1.27 1.75 2.46 1.27 1.5 1.05 2.56 2.93	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.9 0.59 0.24 0.72 0.45 0.67 1.13 0.5 0.73 0.56 1.18 1.63	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.59 4.61 5.36 3.09 1.98 5.54 5.26	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9~11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Gome college vs. < 9th Grade) Education (College Graduate or above vs. < 9th Family poverty income status Health insurance (not covered vs. covered) Rheumatoid arthritis (Yes vs. No) Depression (Yes vs. No) Asthma (Yes vs. No) BMI (Overweight vs. Normal) BMI (Obese vs. Normal) BMI (Obese vs. Normal) BMI (Obese vs. Normal)	arried) Grade)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.9 1.75 2.46 1.27 1.5 1.05 2.56 2.93 1.15 1.05 2.56 2.93 1.19	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.9 0.59 0.24 0.72 0.45 0.67 1.13 0.5 0.73 0.56 1.18 1.63 0.61	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.59 4.61 5.36 3.26 3.09 1.98 5.26 2.31	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9~11 th Grade vs. <9th Grade)	arried) Grade)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.83 0.9 1.27 1.75 2.46 1.27 1.55 2.56 2.93 1.05 2.56 2.93 1.08	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.9 0.59 0.24 0.72 0.45 0.67 1.13 0.5 0.73 0.56 1.18 1.63 0.61 0.45	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.59 4.61 5.36 3.09 1.98 5.54 5.54 2.31 2.61	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9–11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade)	arried) Grade) astfed)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.8 0.9 1.27 1.75 2.46 1.27 1.5 1.05 2.56 2.93 1.19 1.08 0.77	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.79 0.59 0.24 0.79 0.45 0.67 1.13 0.56 1.18 1.63 0.61 1.03 0.45	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.59 4.61 5.36 3.26 3.09 1.98 5.54 5.54 5.54 5.26 2.31 2.61 1.66	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9~11 th Grade vs. <9th Grade)	arried) Grade) astfed)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.83 0.9 1.27 1.75 2.46 1.27 1.55 2.56 2.93 1.05 2.56 2.93 1.08	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.9 0.59 0.24 0.72 0.45 0.67 1.13 0.5 0.73 0.56 1.18 1.63 0.61 0.45	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.59 4.61 5.36 3.09 1.98 5.54 5.54 2.31 2.61	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9–11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade)	arried) Grade) astfed)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.8 0.9 1.27 1.75 2.46 1.27 1.5 1.05 2.56 2.93 1.19 1.08 0.77	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.79 0.59 0.24 0.79 0.45 0.67 1.13 0.56 1.18 1.63 0.61 1.03 0.45	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.59 4.61 5.36 3.26 3.09 1.98 5.54 5.54 5.54 5.26 2.31 2.61 1.66	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9~11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade) Education (College Graduate or above vs. < 9th Family poverty income status Health insurance (not covered vs. covered) Rheumatoid arthritis (Yes vs. No) Depression (Yes vs. No) Asthma (Yes vs. No) BMI (Overweight vs. Normal) BMI (Obese vs. Normal) BMI (Obese vs. Normal) Sleep duration (Long vs. Normal) Sleep duration (Long vs. Normal) Sleep duration (Long vs. Normal) Last childbearing age (Within 30s vs. Within 20	arried) Grade) astfed) reastfed) s)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.9 1.75 2.46 1.27 2.46 1.27 1.5 1.05 2.56 2.93 1.19 1.08 0.77 0.49	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.9 0.59 0.24 0.75 0.67 1.13 0.5 0.73 0.56 1.18 1.63 0.61 0.45 0.36 0.17	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 2.81 1.13 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.55 4.61 5.36 3.09 1.98 5.54 2.31 2.61 1.66 1.46	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9-11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (High school Grad vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Gollege Graduate or above vs. < 9th Family poverty income status Health insurance (not covered vs. covered) Rheumatoid arthritis (Yes vs. No) Depression (Yes vs. No) Asthma (Yes vs. No) BMI (Overweight vs. Normal) BMI (Overse vs. Normal) BMI (Overse vs. Normal) Physical activity (MET scores > 600 vs. <=600) Sleep duration (Long vs. Normal) Postpartum breastfeeding (Not breastfed vs. Bre Postpartum breastfeeding (Never pregnant vs. B Last childbearing age (Wintin 308 vs. Within 20	arried) Grade) astfed) reastfed) s) 20s)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.83 0.9 1.27 1.75 2.46 1.27 1.5 1.05 2.56 2.93 1.19 1.08 0.77 0.45 0.54 0.39	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71 0.9 0.24 0.72 0.45 0.65 0.73 0.56 1.13 0.56 1.18 1.63 0.45 0.36 0.45 0.36 0.15	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.59 4.61 5.36 3.26 3.09 1.98 5.54 5.54 5.54 5.54 5.56 2.31 2.61 1.66 1.46 1.425 3.12	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9-11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (High school Grad vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Some college vs. < 9th Grade) Education (College Graduate or above vs. < 9th Family poverty income status Health insurance (not covered vs. covered) Rheumatoid arthritis (Yes vs. No) Depression (Yes vs. No) Asthma (Yes vs. No) Osteoprorsis (Yes vs. No) BMI (Overweight vs. Normal) BMI (Obese vs. Normal) Physical activity (MET scores > 600 vs. <=600) Sleep duration (Long vs. Normal) Sleat childbearing age (Within 30s vs. Within 20 Last childbearing age (More than 40s vs. Within	arried) Grade) astfed) reastfed) s) z 20s) J)	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.83 0.9 1.75 2.46 1.27 1.5 1.05 2.56 2.93 1.19 0.64 0.77 0.49 0.54 0.54 0.54	1.06 1.15 0.77 0.1 0.71 0.9 0.24 0.72 0.45 0.73 0.5 0.73 0.5 0.73 0.5 0.73 0.5 0.73 0.5 0.73 0.5 0.73 0.5 0.73 0.5 0.73 0.5 0.73 0.5 0.73 0.5 0.73 0.5 0.73 0.5 0.73 0.5 0.5 0.73 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 2.81 1.13 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.55 4.61 5.36 3.09 1.98 5.54 5.26 2.31 2.61 1.66 1.46 1.25 3.12 5.13	Adjusted OR and 95%CI
Variables Age Family history- Heart attack / Angina (Yes vs. N Marriage (Widowed/ Divorced/ Separated vs. M Marriage (Never married vs. Married) Education (9-11 th Grade vs. < 9th Grade) Education (High school Grad vs. < 9th Grade) Education (Gollege Graduate or above vs. < 9th Family poverty income status Health insurance (not covered vs. covered) Rheumatoid arthritis (Yes vs. No) Depression (Yes vs. No) Asthma (Yes vs. No) BMI (Overweight vs. Normal) BMI (Overse vs. Normal) BMI (Overse vs. Normal) Physical activity (MET scores > 600 vs. <=600) Sleep duration (Long vs. Normal) Postpartum breastfeeding (Not breastfed vs. Bre Postpartum breastfeeding (Never pregnant vs. B Last childbearing age (Wintin 308 vs. Within 20	arried) Grade) astfed) reastfed) s) 20s)))	OR 1.09 2.11 1.22 0.53 2.26 2.9 1.45 0.83 0.9 1.27 1.75 2.46 1.27 1.5 1.05 2.56 2.93 1.19 1.08 0.77 0.45 0.54 0.39	1.06 1.15 0.71 0.1 0.71 0.9 0.24 0.72 0.45 0.65 0.73 0.56 1.13 0.56 1.18 1.63 0.45 0.36 0.45 0.36 0.15	1.11 3.88 1.94 2.96 7.14 9.35 3.55 2.81 1.13 3.59 4.61 5.36 3.26 3.09 1.98 5.54 5.54 5.54 5.54 5.56 2.31 2.61 1.66 1.46 1.425 3.12	Adjusted OR and 95%CI

Figure 2 The results of multivariate logistic regression model for the risk factors associated with metabolic syndrome or cardiovascular diseases in females. (A) Associated with metabolic syndrome: age, race, family history of DM and heart attack or angina, marital status, education level, family poverty income status, health insurance status, rheumatoid arthritis, depression, asthma, osteoporosis, BMI, physical activity, postpartum breastfeeding, last childbearing age, sleep duration, and daily calorie and sugar consumption were included in the multivariate logistic regression model. (B) Associated with cardiovascular disease: age, family history of heart attack or angina, marital status, education level, family poverty income status, health insurance status, rheumatoid arthritis, depression, asthma, osteoporosis, BMI, physical activity, sleep duration, postpartum breast feeding, last childbearing age, vitamin D insufficiency, and daily calorie consumption were included in the multivariate logistic regression model. BMI, body mass index.

Another interesting association that emerged from the cross-sectional analysis of NHANES data is the link between low total daily calorie consumption and higher prevalence of CVD, which was evident in subjects, irrespective of their gender. Lifestyle modifications, including dietary change, is the most advocated strategy for the prevention and management of MetS and CVD. A low-calorie, high-fiber diet is often recommended to reduce the risk of diabetes and CVD.³⁶ Caloric restriction is known to be a strong activator of protective metabolic pathways, thereby leading to lower blood pressure, improved blood lipids, and reduced inflammatory markers,³⁷ in addition

present study has major cl
ings that higher physical
rie intake in general are as
) is particularly relevant in
results reveal that the hig
physical activity (MET \geq
certain risk factors, sugg
rging risk factors in the
lts also suggest that obesi
S than in CVD, irrespectiv
risk factors of MetS and G
tifying patients who need a
or intensive monitoring.
conclusion, the current re
ence for the association of
high physical activity in wo
previously linked to MetS a
studies are necessary to val
ributors X-EZ: guarantor of integri
pts; study design; manuscript prepa
xperimental studies and definition o sition; data analysis; and statistical a
ure research; and manuscript reviev
peting interests None declared.
nt consent Meta-analyses do not
re IRB review (J Grad Med Educ 201
enance and peer review Not con
nerican Federation for Medical Resea
f the article) 2017. All rights reserve
s otherwise expressly granted.
ERENCES
Ilufadi R, Byrne CD. Clinical and labora yndrome. <i>J Clin Pathol</i> 2008;61:697–7
irundy SM. Metabolic syndrome pande
008.28.620_36

p < 0.001).⁴⁴ The strong association of depression with MetS and CVD directs our attention to the newly emerging

> risk factors, in addition to the traditional risk factors. Though the cross-sectional study design is a limitation, the present study has major clinical implications. The key findi activity in women and low caloi ssociated with a high risk for CVI patient education strategies. Our gher risk of CVD associated 600) is seen only in women with gesting the role of new and with development of CVD. Our eme ity is a major risk factor in resul ve of gender. The analysis of Met key CVD will help physicians in aggressive lifestyle modificaiden tion

> esults provide cross-sectional In evid many emerging risk factors, like omen and low calorie intake, not p nd CVD. However, prospective lidate these findings.

rity of the entire study; study Cont aration; and manuscript editing. conce BC: ex of intellectual content. OW: data acquis analysis. J-JW: clinical studies; literat N.

Comp

Patie involve human subjects and do not requir 1; 3:5-6).

mmissioned; externally peer reviewed. Prove

© Am earch (unless otherwise stated in the text o ed. No commercial use is permitted unless

REFE

- 1 0 atory diagnosis of the metabolic 706. S)
- 2 emic. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol G 2008;28:629-36.
- 3 Hoang KC, Le TV, Wong ND. The metabolic syndrome in East Asians. J Cardiometab Syndr 2007;2:276-82.
- Hwang LC, Bai CH, Chen CJ. Prevalence of obesity and metabolic syndrome in 4 Taiwan. J Formos Med Assoc 2006;105:626-35.
- 5 Nestel P, Lyu R, Low LP, et al. Metabolic syndrome: recent prevalence in East and Southeast Asian populations. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 2007;16:362-7.
- 6 Lovre D, Mauvais-Jarvis F. Trends in prevalence of the metabolic syndrome. JAMA 2015;314:950.
- 7 Bentley-Lewis R, Koruda K, Seely EW. The metabolic syndrome in women. Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab 2007;3:696-704.
- 8 Goossens GH. The role of adipose tissue dysfunction in the pathogenesis of obesity-related insulin resistance. Physiol Behav 2008;94:206-18.
- 9 Gustafson B, Hammarstedt A, Andersson CX, et al. Inflamed adipose tissue: a culprit underlying the metabolic syndrome and atherosclerosis. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2007;27:2276-83.
- 10 Goldbacher EM, Matthews KA. Are psychological characteristics related to risk of the metabolic syndrome? A review of the literature. Ann Behav Med 2007;34:240-52.
- 11 Kahl KG, Schweiger U, Correll C, et al. Depression, anxiety disorders, and metabolic syndrome in a population at risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus. Brain Behav 2015;5:n/a.
- 12 Dunbar JA, Reddy P, Davis-Lameloise N, et al. Depression: an important comorbidity with metabolic syndrome in a general population. Diabetes Care 2008;31:2368-73.
- 13 Frasure-Smith N, Lespérance F. Reflections on depression as a cardiac risk factor. Psychosom Med 2005;67 Suppl 1:S19-S25.

 Table 2
 The association between the risk factors associated
 with cardiovascular disease and physical activity in females, stratified by METS 600.

stratified by WE13 000.			
Variables	$MET \geq \!\! 600$	MET <600	p Value
Age (years)	43.9 (0.55)	52.4 (0.53)	<0.001*
Rheumatoid arthritis, n (%)			0.022*
Yes	78 (4.3)	94 (6.8)	
No	1133 (95.7)	989 (93.2)	
BMI, n (%)			<0.001*
Underweight	25 (2.5)	22 (1.8)	
Normal	430 (38.9)	293 (26.5)	
Overweight	409 (29.8)	365 (27.8)	
Obese	440 (28.8)	567 (43.9)	
Postpartum breast feeding, n (%)			<0.001*
Breast fed	568 (48.4)	521 (45.4)	
Not breast fed	348 (28.6)	462 (41.6)	
Never pregnant	201 (23.0)	120 (13.0)	
Last childbearing age, n (%)			<0.001*
Never pregnant	201 (24.3)	120 (13.7)	
Within 20s	460 (41.6)	491 (49.2)	
Within 30s	354 (31.4)	375 (33.2)	
More than 40s	33 (2.7)	57 (3.9)	
Vitamin D insufficiency, n (%)			< 0.001*
25(OH)D <40	244 (14.0)	317 (23.9)	
25(OH)D >40	986 (86.0)	827 (76.1)	

*indicates a significant factor, p<0.05.

BMI, body mass index.

to reduction in oxidative damage.³⁸ Despite the reported positive effect of low calorie intake, our results indicate that low calorie intake was associated with a higher risk of CVD. However, a detailed analysis on the role of each dietary content was not undertaken in this study. In studies elsewhere, it has been shown that vitamin D deficiency in children may increase the risk of CVD.³⁹ Other reports suggest that associations between vitamin D and cardiometabolic risk among healthy, non-diabetic adults are largely mediated by adiposity.⁴⁰ Although a gender difference in MetS was not observed in our study, a report based on the 2012 Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) data indicate that serum 25 (OH)D levels were inversely associated with MetS in men, while it did not show any association with MetS in women.⁴¹ Regardless, our report is in agreement with others, where regular physical activity along with a healthy eating profile and a better serum vitamin profile is shown to reduce the incidence of MetS and its risk components.⁴²

Apart from the nutritional and exercise factors, the present data also highlight the role of psychological characteristics of subjects in the development of MetS and CVD. Remarkably, several recent reports have suggested that psychological characteristics, especially depression, hostility, and anger, may increase risk for the MetS.^{10 43} Results from the 2007-2014 KNHANES reveal that MetS was more prevalent in women with a prior diagnosis of depression than those without diagnosed depression (26.20% vs 19.07%,

Original research

- 14 Musselman DL, Evans DL, Nemeroff CB. The relationship of depression to cardiovascular disease: epidemiology, biology, and treatment. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1998;55:580–92.
- 15 Goldstein BI, Fagiolini A, Houck P, et al. Cardiovascular disease and hypertension among adults with bipolar I disorder in the United States. *Bipolar Disord* 2009;11:657–62.
- 16 Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an american Heart Association/National Heart, lung, and Blood Institute Scientific Statement. *Circulation* 2005;112:2735–52.
- 17 NHANES. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: 2007 2008 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies. *Mental Health - Depression Screener (DPQ_E) October, 2009 ed: NHANES*.
- 18 NHANES. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: 2007 2008 Data Documentation, Codebook, and Frequencies.. Body measures (BMX_E). September, 2009 ed: NHANES.
- 19 National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. *Helping patients who drink too much: A clinician's guide*: NIH Publication no 053769, 2005. Bethesda, MD.
- 20 Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Herrmann SD, et al. 2011 compendium of physical activities: a second update of codes and MET values. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43:1575–81.
- 21 Hirshkowitz M, Whiton K, Albert SM, et al. National Sleep Foundation's sleep time duration recommendations: methodology and results summary. Sleep Health 2015;1:40–3.
- 22 Schleicher RL, Sternberg MR, Lacher DA, et al. The vitamin D status of the US population from 1988 to 2010 using standardized serum concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D shows recent modest increases. Am J Clin Nutr 2016;104:454–61.
- 23 Phinney KW. Development of a standard reference material for vitamin D in serum. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2008;88:5115–2.
- 24 Yetley EA, Pfeiffer CM, Schleicher RL, et al. NHANES monitoring of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D: a roundtable summary. J Nutr 2010;140:2030S–45.
- 25 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey: 2007 2008. *Data documentation, Codebook, and frequencies: dietary Interview Individual Foods July 2010 ed: nhanes,* 2010.
- 26 U.S. Department of Agriculture and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Dietary guidelines for americans, 2010. 7th edition*. Washington: DC: U.S. Government Printing Office. (accessed Dec 2010).
- 27 Kroenke K, Spitzer RL. The PHQ-9: a New Depression Diagnostic and Severity measure. *Psychiatr Ann* 2002;32:509–15.
- 28 Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. J Gen Intern Med 2001;16:606–13.
- 29 Lee IM, Sesso HD, Oguma Y, et al. Relative intensity of physical activity and risk of coronary heart disease. *Circulation* 2003;107:1110–6.

- 30 Rognmo Ø, Moholdt T, Bakken H, et al. Cardiovascular risk of high- versus moderate-intensity aerobic exercise in coronary heart disease patients. *Circulation* 2012;126:1436–40.
- 31 Moholdt T, Madssen E, Rognmo Ø, et al. The higher the better? interval training intensity in coronary heart disease. J Sci Med Sport 2014;17:506–10.
- 32 Tanasescu M, Leitzmann MF, Rimm EB, *et al*. Exercise type and intensity in relation to coronary heart disease in men. *JAMA* 2002;288:1994–2000.
- 33 Kim JH, Baggish AL. Strenuous exercise and Cardiovascular Disease Outcomes. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* 2017;19:1.
- 34 Manolis AS, Manolis AA, Exercise MAA. Exercise and arrhythmias: a Double-Edged sword. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol* 2016;39:748–62.
- 35 Merghani A, Malhotra A, Sharma S. The U-shaped relationship between exercise and cardiac morbidity. *Trends Cardiovasc Med* 2016;26:232–40.
- 36 Mietus-Snyder ML, Shigenaga MK, Suh JH, et al. A nutrient-dense, high-fiber, fruit-based supplement bar increases HDL cholesterol, particularly large HDL, lowers homocysteine, and raises glutathione in a 2-wk trial. *Faseb J* 2012;26:3515–27.
- 37 Gögebakan O, Kohl A, Osterhoff MA, et al. Effects of weight loss and longterm weight maintenance with diets varying in protein and glycemic index on cardiovascular risk factors: the diet, obesity, and genes (DiOGenes) study: a randomized, controlled trial. *Circulation* 2011;124:2829–38.
- 38 Gredilla R, Barja G. Minireview: the role of oxidative stress in relation to caloric restriction and longevity. *Endocrinology* 2005;146:3713–7.
- 39 Murni IK, Sulistyoningrum DC, Oktaria V. Association of vitamin D deficiency with cardiovascular disease risk in children: implications for the Asia Pacific Region. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 2016;25:S8–19.
- 40 Mousa A, Naderpoor N, de Courten MP, et al. 25-hydroxyvitamin D is associated with adiposity and cardiometabolic risk factors in a predominantly vitamin D-deficient and overweight/obese but otherwise healthy cohort. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2016;0760:30347–8.
- 41 Yoon H, Jeong DK, Park CE, et al. The association between gender difference with metabolic syndrome, metabolic syndrome score and serum vitamin D levels in korean adults. Int J Food Sci Nutr 2017;68:121–9.
- 42 Choi JE, Ainsworth BE. Associations of food consumption, serum vitamins and metabolic syndrome risk with physical activity level in middle-aged adults: the National Health and Nutrition Examination survey (NHANES) 2005-2006. *Public Health Nutr* 2016;19:1674–83.
- 43 Goldstein BI, Carnethon MR, Matthews KA, et al. Major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder predispose Youth to Accelerated Atherosclerosis and early Cardiovascular disease: a Scientific Statement from the american Heart Association. *Circulation* 2015;132:965–86.
- 44 Park SJ, Roh S, Hwang J, et al. Association between depression and metabolic syndrome in korean women: results from the korean national health and nutrition examination survey (2007-2013). J Affect Disord 2016;205:393–9.